

We study the admissible sets of fixed submanifolds under the action of the group Z_p in the quasi-complex manifold M^{2n} , $n < p$.

§1. We use the following notation: Ω_* is the ring of unitary cobordisms, $L_p(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional lens with the weights $i_k \in Z_p^*$, and L_p^∞ is an infinite dimensional lens. Let $A = \Omega[x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}]$ be a graded ring, where $\deg x_i = 2$. There exists a homomorphism

$$\alpha: A \rightarrow U_*(L_p^\infty),$$

associating with every monomial $\gamma x_1^{n_1} \dots x_p^{n_{p-1}}$ the element

$$\gamma L_p(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n_1}, \dots, \underbrace{p-1, \dots, p-1}_{n_{p-1}}).$$

Put $B = \ker \alpha$. With every homogenous element $z \in B$ we associate a quasi-complex manifold M in the following way: put $z = \Sigma \gamma_n x^n$, where $n = (n_1, \dots, n_{p-1})$ is a multi-index.

Let Σ_n be the sphere $S^2 \mid n \mid^{-1}$, where the action of the group Z_p on Σ_n is given by the weights x^n , and let D_n be the disc with the boundary Σ_n with linearly extended action of Z_p . Since $z \in B$, the manifold $\bigcup_n \gamma_n \times \Sigma_n$ has the fiber with the action of Z_p without fixed points. Then

$$M = \Gamma \cup \bigcup_n \gamma_n \times D_n.$$

M is acted upon by Z_p , where the γ_n are the fixed manifolds with the weights of the representation in the normal fiber x^n . Obviously, B is a subring, and the above confrontation defines a ring homomorphism $\beta: B \rightarrow \Omega_* \otimes Z_p$. In the sequel we shall always argue modulo the elements of degree $\geq 2p$.

We consider the formal series

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} [CP^n] t^{n+1}.$$

Let $g(t)$ be the series which is defined by the equation $g(f(t)) = t$. Put

$$H_k(t) = tg(kf(t))^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n^k t^n,$$

and

$$G_n(x_1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n^k x_1^{1-n}.$$

If $\varphi(t)$ is a formal Laurent series, then we denote by $[\varphi(t)]$ the regular part of the series without the free term. Furthermore, put

$$F_n(x_1) = [x_1^{n_1} G_2^{n_2} \dots G_{p-1}^{n_{p-1}}] .$$

and denote by B_p the image of B in $A \otimes Z_p$.

THEOREM 1. The elements

$$v_n = x^n - F_n(x_1), \sum_{i=2}^{p-1} n_i \geq 1, \quad n_i \geq 0,$$

and the elements px^n generate the group B additively. The elements

$$v^{k,s} = x_1^s x_k - F_{(s,0,\dots,1,\dots,0)}(x_1), \quad s \geq 0, \quad k \in Z_p^*$$

generate the ring B_p multiplicatively.

THEOREM 2. The equation

$$\beta(px^n) = 0.$$

holds, and the homomorphism β may be represented in the form of the composition

$$B \rightarrow B_p \xrightarrow{\beta'} \Omega_* \otimes Z_p.$$

The element $\beta(v_n)$ is equal to the free term of the Laurent series $G_1^{n_1} G_2^{n_2} \dots G_{p-1}^{n_{p-1}}$.

§2. Proof of Theorem 1. It is known [2] that all elements of degree > 0 of $U_*(L_p^\infty)$ have the order p , and the module $U_*(L_p^\infty)$ is generated over Ω_* by the elements $\alpha(x_1^s)$, $s \geq 0$. Consequently, the elements px^n belong to B, and the $\alpha(x^n)$ are represented as a linear combination over Ω_* of the elements $\alpha(x_1^s)$, i.e., there exist polynomials $F_n(x_1)$ such that $v_n = x^n$, and the $F_n(x_1)$ lie in B. The fact that the elements v_n and px^n generate B additively is evident. It remains to prove that the $F_n(x_1)$ have the form required in §1.

Clearly, all coefficients of the $F_n(x_1)$ are determined uniquely modulo p . Put

$$v^{h,s} = x_1^s x_h - F^{h,s}(x_1), \quad F^{h,s}(x_1) = f_0^{h,s} x_1^{s+1} + f_1^{h,s} x_1^s + \dots + f_s^{h,s} x_1.$$

LEMMA 1. The equation $f_1^{k,s} = f_1^{k,s'}$ holds for arbitrary $s, s' \geq i$.

Proof. For $i = 0$ Lemma 1 follows from the spectral sequence of Atiyah-Hirzebruch. Assume the lemma is true for a certain i . Put

$$y = v^{h,i} = x_1^i x_h - (f_0^{h,i} x_1^{i+1} + \dots + f_i^{h,i} x_1).$$

Then we have for $s \geq i$

$$v^{h,s} = x_1^{s-i} y - (f_{i+1}^{h,s} x_1^i + \dots + f_s^{h,s} x_1).$$

We consider the expression

$$\begin{aligned} v^{h,s} v^{h,i+1} - v^{h,s+1} v^{h,i} &= -(f_{i+1}^{h,s} x_1^{s+i-1} y + \dots + f_s^{h,s} x_1^2 y) - f_{i+1}^{h,i+1} x_1^{s+1-i} y \\ &+ f_{i+1}^{h,i+1} (f_{i+1}^{h,s} x_1^{s+1-i} + \dots + f_s^{h,s} x_1^2) + (f_{i+1}^{h,s+1} x_1^{s+1-i} y + \dots + f_{s+1}^{h,s+1} x_1 y). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $x_1^j y$ in the above expression by $v^{k,j}$ and x_1 and applying the homomorphism α we obtain in the highest dimension the equation

$$0 = (f_{i+1}^{h,s+1} - f_{i+1}^{h,i+1}) (f_{i+1}^{h,s+1} - f_{i+1}^{h,s}).$$

From here we obtain the equation $f_{i+1}^{k,s} = f_{i+1}^{k,i+1}$ by induction. Lemma 1 is proved.

Put $f_i^k = f_i^{k,s}$ for $s \geq i$. We assume

$$G_h(x_1) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i^h x_1^{1-i}.$$

LEMMA 2. The polynomials $F_n(x_1)$ have the form

$$F_n(x_1) = [x_1^{n_1} G_2^{n_2} \dots G_{p-1}^{n_{p-1}}].$$

Proof. Lemma 2 holds in the case $|n| - n_1 = 1$. The proof is carried out by induction on $|n| - n_1$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} n &= (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{p-1}), \\ n' &= (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{p-2}, n_{p-1} - 1), \\ n'' &= (n_1 + 1, n_2, \dots, n_{p-2}, n_{p-1} - 1), \\ G^n &= x_1^{n_1} G_2^{n_2} \dots G_{p-1}^{n_{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the expression

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n'} v^{p-1, 0} &= (x^{n'} - F_{n'}) (x_{p-1} - f_0^{p-1} x_1) = x^n - x_{p-1} \tilde{F}_{n'} - f_0^{p-1} x^{n'} + f_0^{p-1} x_1 F_{n'} \\ &= v_n + F_n - x_{p-1} F_{n'} - f_0^{p-1} (v_{n'} + F_{n'}) + f_0^{p-1} x_1 F_{n'}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$G^{n'} = g_0 x_1^N + g_1 x_1^{N-1} + \cdots + g_N + g_{N+1} x_1^{-1} + \cdots$$

Then

$$x_{p-1} F_{n'} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} v^{p-1, N-i} g_i + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_i \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-i} f_j^{p-1} x_1^{N+1-i-j} \right).$$

Substituting this expression into the above one and applying the homomorphism α , we get

$$F_n = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_i \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-i} f_j^{p-1} x_1^{N+1-i-j} \right) + f_0^{p-1} F_{n'} - f_0^{p-1} x_1 F_{n'}.$$

On the other hand,

$$[G^n] = [G^{n'} G_{p-1}] = \left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i x_1^{N-i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i^{p-1} x_1^{1-i} \right) \right] = f_0 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_i x_1^{N-i+1} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} f_i^{p-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-i} g_j x_1^{N+1-i-j} \right) = F_n.$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

We now fix a certain number N and consider the lens L_p^{2N-1} . Let $u \in U^2(L_p^{2N-1})$ be a "geometric" cobordism [2], and let

$$D: U_i(L_p^{2N-1}) \rightarrow U^{2N-1-i}(L_p^{2N-1})$$

be a Poincaré duality (see [1]). It is easy to see that

$$D(\alpha(x_h^n)) = u^{N+1-n} (H_h(u))^n$$

(we recall that $u^{N+1} = 0$). Let

$$\varphi_h: L_p^{2N-1} \rightarrow L_p^{2N-1}$$

be a mapping corresponding to the multiplication in Z_p by $k^{-1} \in Z_p^*$. The following equation holds [2]

$$\varphi_{h,*}(\alpha(x_h^n)) = \alpha(x_h^n).$$

We consider the equation

$$\varphi_{h,*}(\varphi_h^*(u) \cdot 1) = u \varphi_{h,*}(1).$$

We have

$$\varphi_{h,*}(u^s) = u^s H_h^{N+1-s}(u), \varphi_h^*(u) = g(k^{-1}f(u)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^h u^i \text{ (see [2], Appendix).}$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^h u^i H_h^{N+1-i}(u) = u H_h^{N+1}(u).$$

Since the element $H_h(u)$ is invertible in $U^*(L_p^{2N+1})$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^h \left(\frac{u}{H_h(u)} \right)^i = u \pmod{u^{N+1}}.$$

Consequently,

$$g\left(k^{-1}f\left(\frac{u}{H_h(u)}\right)\right) = u$$

or

$$H_k(u) = \frac{u}{g(kf(u))}.$$

Theorem 1 is proved completely.

§3. Proof of Theorem 2. Put $n = (n_1, \dots, n_{p-1})$, $n' = (n_1 + 1, n_2, \dots, n_{p-1})$, and let γ be the free term of the series G^n . Then $F_{n'} = F_n x_1 + \gamma x_1$. We have the equation

$$v_{n'} v^{k,s} - v^{k,s+1} v_n = \alpha_{s+1}^k v_{n'} - \gamma v^{k,s+1}.$$

We apply the homomorphism β :

$$\beta(v_{n'}) (\beta(v^{k,s}) - \alpha_{s+1}^k) = \beta(v^{k,s+1}) (\beta(v_n) - \gamma).$$

Choosing s so that $\deg v^{k,s+1} > \deg v_{n'}$, and k so that $\beta(v^{k,s+1})$ is an indecomposable element of the product (see [1]), we obtain that in the above equation the left and the right sides are equal to zero. We show that $\beta(px_1^n) = 0$. It is clear that $\beta(px_k^n) = \beta(px_1^n)$. On the other hand,

$$(x_k^n - k^{-n} x_1^n + \dots) \in B,$$

i.e.,

$$\beta(px_k^n - pk^{-n} x_1^n + \dots) = 0,$$

or

$$\beta(px_k^n) (1 - k^{-n}) + \dots = 0.$$

From here we obtain the assertion by induction on n . Theorem 2 is proved.

LITERATURE CITED

1. P. Conner and E. Floyd, *Differentiable Periodic Maps*, Berlin (1964).
2. S. P. Novikov, "Methods of the algebraic topology under the point of view of the theory of cobordisms," *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Matem.*, **31**, No. 4 (1967), pp. 855-951.