

Geometric structures on moment-angle manifolds

Taras Panov

Moscow State University

based on joint works with with [Victor Buchstaber](#),
[Andrey Mironov](#) and [Yuri Ustinovsky](#)

Toric Topology and Automorphic Functions.
Khabarovsk, 5-10 September 2011.

Topology of moment-angle manifolds and complexes (joint with Victor Buchstaber).

A **convex polyhedron** in \mathbb{R}^n obtained by intersecting m halfspaces:

$$P = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle + b_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m \right\}.$$

Define an affine map

$$i_P: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, \quad i_P(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{x} \rangle + b_1, \dots, \langle \mathbf{a}_m, \mathbf{x} \rangle + b_m \right).$$

If P has a vertex, then i_P is monomorphic, and $i_P(P)$ is the intersection of an n -plane with $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^m = \{ \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m) : y_i \geq 0 \}$.

Define the space \mathcal{Z}_P from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_P & \xrightarrow{i_Z} & \mathbb{C}^m & & (z_1, \dots, z_m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \\ P & \xrightarrow{i_P} & \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^m & & (|z_1|^2, \dots, |z_m|^2) \end{array}$$

\mathcal{Z}_P has a \mathbb{T}^m -action, $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathbb{T}^m = P$, and i_Z is a \mathbb{T}^m -equivariant inclusion.

Proposition 1. *If P is a simple polytope (more generally, if the presentation of P by inequalities is generic), then \mathcal{Z}_P is a smooth manifold of dimension $m + n$.*

Proof. Write $i_P(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $m - n$ linear equations in $(y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Replace y_k by $|z_k|^2$ to obtain a presentation of \mathcal{Z}_P by quadrics. \square

\mathcal{Z}_P : **polytopal moment-angle manifold** corresponding to P .

Similarly, by considering the projection $\mu: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^m$ instead of $\mu: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^m$ we obtain the **real moment-angle manifold** $\mathcal{R}_P \subset \mathbb{R}^m$.

Example 1. $P = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2: x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, -\gamma_1 x_1 - \gamma_2 x_2 + 1 \geq 0\}$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ (a 2-simplex). Then

$\mathcal{Z}_P = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3: \gamma_1 |z_1|^2 + \gamma_2 |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 = 1\}$ (a 5-sphere),

$\mathcal{R}_P = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3: \gamma_1 |u_1|^2 + \gamma_2 |u_2|^2 + |u_3|^2 = 1\}$ (a 2-sphere).

\mathcal{K} an (abstract) **simplicial complex** on the set $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$.

$I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \in \mathcal{K}$ a **simplex**. Always assume $\emptyset \in \mathcal{K}$.

Consider the unit polydisc in \mathbb{C}^m ,

$$\mathbb{D}^m = \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m : |z_i| \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \right\}.$$

Given $I \subset [m]$, set

$$B_I := \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{D}^m : |z_j| = 1 \text{ for } j \notin I \right\} \cong \prod_{i \in I} D^2 \times \prod_{i \notin I} S^1.$$

The **moment-angle complex**

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} := \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}} B_I = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}} \left(\prod_{i \in I} D^2 \times \prod_{i \notin I} S^1 \right) \subset \mathbb{D}^m$$

It is invariant under the coordinatewise action of the torus \mathbb{T}^m .

Example 2. $\mathcal{K} = 2$ points, then $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} = D^2 \times S^1 \cup S^1 \times D^2 \cong S^3$.

$\mathcal{K} = \Delta$, then $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} = (D^2 \times D^2 \times S^1) \cup (D^2 \times S^1 \times D^2) \cup (S^1 \times D^2 \times D^2) \cong S^5$.

More generally, let X a space, and $A \subset X$. Given $I \subset [m]$, set

$$(X, A)^I = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m X : x_j \in A \text{ for } j \notin I \right\} \cong \prod_{i \in I} X \times \prod_{i \notin I} A.$$

The \mathcal{K} -polyhedral product of (X, A) is

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}(X, A) = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}} (X, A)^I \subset X^m.$$

Another important example is the complement of the **coordinate sub-space arrangement** corresponding to \mathcal{K} :

$$U(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \bigcup_{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \notin \mathcal{K}} \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : z_{i_1} = \dots = z_{i_k} = 0\},$$

namely,

$$U(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^\times),$$

where $\mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 1. $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \subset U(\mathcal{K})$ is a \mathbb{T}^m -deformation retract of $U(\mathcal{K})$.

Theorem 2. *If P is a simple polytope, $\mathcal{K}_P = \partial(P^*)$ (the dual triangulation), then $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}_P} \cong \mathcal{Z}_P$ (\mathbb{T}^m -equivariantly homeomorphic).*

In particular, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}_P}$ is a manifold. More generally,

Proposition 2. *Assume $|\mathcal{K}| \cong S^{n-1}$ (a sphere triangulation with m vertices). Then $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a closed manifold of dimension $m + n$.*

The **face ring** (the **Stanley–Reisner ring**) of \mathcal{K} is

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{K}] = \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_m] / \left(v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_k} : \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \notin K \right), \quad \deg v_i = 2.$$

Theorem 3. *There is an isomorphism of (bi)graded algebras*

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathrm{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_m]}^{*,*}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{K}], \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\cong H[\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{K}]; d], \end{aligned}$$

where $du_i = v_i$, $dv_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. In particular,

$$H^p(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}) \cong \sum_{-i+2j=p} \mathrm{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_m]}^{-i, 2j}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{K}], \mathbb{Z}).$$

Corollary 1. $H^k(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}) \cong \bigoplus_{I \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}^{k-|I|-1}(\mathcal{K}_I),$

where \mathcal{K}_I is the restriction of \mathcal{K} to the subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$.

If $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_P$, then can rewrite the above in terms of P instead of \mathcal{K} :

Corollary 2. $H^k(\mathcal{Z}_P) \cong \bigoplus_{I \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}^{k-|I|-1}(P_I),$

where P_I is the union of facets F_i of P with $i \in I$.

Remark 1. Integral version of Theorem 3 was proved independently by [[Baskakov–Buchstaber–P](#)] and [[Franz](#)].

2. The product in $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}})$ given by Theorem 3 can be also described in terms of full subcomplexes \mathcal{K}_I of Corollary 1 [[Baskakov](#)].

3. There is the stable decomposition $\Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \simeq \bigvee_{I \subset [m]} \Sigma^{|I|+2} |\mathcal{K}_I|$ behind the isomorphism of Corollary 1 [[Bahri–Bendersky–Cohen–Gitler](#)].

Geometric structures I. Lagrangian submanifolds. (joint with Andrey Mironov).

(M, ω) a symplectic Riemannian $2n$ -manifold.

An immersion $i: N \looparrowright M$ of an n -manifold N is **Lagrangian** if $i^*(\omega) = 0$.
If i is an embedding, then $i(N)$ is a **Lagrangian submanifold** of M .

A vector field ξ on M is **Hamiltonian** if the 1-form $\omega(\cdot, \xi)$ is exact.

A Lagrangian immersion $i: N \looparrowright M$ is **Hamiltonian minimal** (**H -minimal**) if the variations of the volume of $i(N)$ along all Hamiltonian vector fields with compact support are zero, i.e.

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \text{vol}(i_t(N)) \right|_{t=0} = 0,$$

where $i_0(N) = i(N)$, $i_t(N)$ is a Hamiltonian deformation of $i(N)$, and $\text{vol}(i_t(N))$ is the volume of the deformed part of $i_t(N)$.

Recall: P a simple polytope

$$P = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle + b_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m \right\}.$$

The polytopal moment-angle manifold \mathcal{Z}_P ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_P & \xrightarrow{i_Z} & \mathbb{C}^m & (z_1, \dots, z_m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu & \downarrow \\ P & \xrightarrow{i_P} & \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^m & (|z_1|^2, \dots, |z_m|^2) \end{array}$$

can be written as the intersection of $m - n$ real quadrics,

$$\mathcal{Z}_P = \left\{ \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m : \sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_{jk} |z_k|^2 = c_j, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m - n \right\}.$$

Also have the **real moment-angle manifold**,

$$\mathcal{R}_P = \left\{ \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : \sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_{jk} u_k^2 = c_j, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m - n \right\}.$$

Set $\gamma_k = (\gamma_{1k}, \dots, \gamma_{m-n,k}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$.

Assume that the polytope P is **rational**. Then have two lattices:

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\langle \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \rangle \subset \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad L = \mathbb{Z}\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \rangle \subset \mathbb{R}^{m-n}.$$

Consider the $(m - n)$ -torus

$$T_P = \left\{ \left(e^{2\pi i \langle \gamma_1, \varphi \rangle}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \langle \gamma_m, \varphi \rangle} \right) \in \mathbb{T}^m \right\},$$

i.e. $T_P = \mathbb{R}^{m-n} / L^*$, and set

$$D_P = \frac{1}{2} L^* / L^* \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{m-n}.$$

Proposition 3. *The $(m - n)$ -torus T_P acts on \mathcal{Z}_P almost freely.*

Consider the map

$$f: \mathcal{R}_P \times T_P \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m, \\ (\mathbf{u}, \varphi) \mapsto \mathbf{u} \cdot \varphi = (u_1 e^{2\pi i \langle \gamma_1, \varphi \rangle}, \dots, u_m e^{2\pi i \langle \gamma_m, \varphi \rangle}).$$

Note $f(\mathcal{R}_P \times T_P) \subset \mathcal{Z}_P$ is the set of T_P -orbits through $\mathcal{R}_P \subset \mathbb{C}^m$.

Have an m -dimensional manifold

$$N_P = \mathcal{R}_P \times_{D_P} T_P.$$

Lemma 1. $f: \mathcal{R}_P \times T_P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ induces an immersion $j: N_P \looparrowright \mathbb{C}^m$.

Theorem 4 (Mironov). *The immersion $i_\Gamma: N_\Gamma \looparrowright \mathbb{C}^m$ is H -minimal Lagrangian.*

When it is an embedding?

A simple rational polytope P is **Delzant** if for any vertex $v \in P$ the set of vectors $\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i_n}$ normal to the facets meeting at v forms a basis of the lattice $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\langle \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \rangle$:

$$\mathbb{Z}\langle \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \rangle = \mathbb{Z}\langle \mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i_n} \rangle \quad \text{for any } v = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}.$$

Theorem 5. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) $j: N_P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is an embedding of an H -minimal Lagrangian submanifold;
- 2) the $(m - n)$ -torus T_P acts on \mathcal{Z}_P freely.
- 3) P is a Delzant polytope.

Explicit constructions of families of Delzant polytopes are known in toric geometry and topology:

- simplices and cubes in all dimensions;
- products and face cuts;
- associahedra (Stasheff ptopes), permutahedra, and generalisations.

Example 3 (one quadric). Let $P = \Delta^{m-1}$ (a simplex), i.e. $m - n = 1$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\Delta^{m-1}}$ is given by a single quadric

$$\gamma_1 u_1^2 + \cdots + \gamma_m u_m^2 = c \quad (1)$$

with $\gamma_i > 0$, i.e. $\mathcal{R}_{\Delta^{m-1}} \cong S^{m-1}$. Then

$$N \cong S^{m-1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1 \cong \begin{cases} S^{m-1} \times S^1 & \text{if } \tau \text{ preserves the orient. of } S^{m-1}, \\ \mathcal{K}^m & \text{if } \tau \text{ reverses the orient. of } S^{m-1}, \end{cases}$$

where τ is the involution and \mathcal{K}^m is an **m -dimensional Klein bottle**.

Proposition 4. *We obtain an H -minimal Lagrangian embedding of $N_{\Delta^{m-1}} \cong S^{m-1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ in \mathbb{C}^m if and only if $\gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_m$ in (1). The topological type of $N_{\Delta^{m-1}} = N(m)$ depends only on the parity of m :*

$$\begin{aligned} N(m) &\cong S^{m-1} \times S^1 && \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ N(m) &\cong \mathcal{K}^m && \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

The Klein bottle \mathcal{K}^m with even m does *not* admit Lagrangian embeddings in \mathbb{C}^m [Nemirovsky, Shevchishin].

Example 4 (two quadrics).

Theorem 6. *Let $m - n = 2$, i.e. $P \simeq \Delta^{p-1} \times \Delta^{q-1}$.*

(a) \mathcal{R}_P is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{R}(p, q) \cong S^{p-1} \times S^{q-1}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^2 + \dots + u_k^2 + u_{k+1}^2 + \dots + u_p^2 &= 1, \\ u_1^2 + \dots + u_k^2 + u_{p+1}^2 + \dots + u_m^2 &= 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $p + q = m$, $0 < p < m$ and $0 \leq k \leq p$.

(b) If $N_P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is an embedding, then N_P is diffeomorphic to

$$N_k(p, q) = \mathcal{R}(p, q) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2} (S^1 \times S^1),$$

where the two involutions act on $\mathcal{R}(p, q)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &: (u_1, \dots, u_m) \mapsto (-u_1, \dots, -u_k, -u_{k+1}, \dots, -u_p, u_{p+1}, \dots, u_m), \\ \psi_2 &: (u_1, \dots, u_m) \mapsto (-u_1, \dots, -u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_p, -u_{p+1}, \dots, -u_m). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

There is a fibration $N_k(p, q) \rightarrow S^{q-1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1 = N(q)$ with fibre $N(p)$ (the manifold from the previous example), which is trivial for $k = 0$.

Example 5 (three quadrics).

In the case $m - n = 3$ the topology of compact manifolds \mathcal{R}_P and \mathcal{Z}_P was fully described by [Lopez de Medrano]. Each manifold is diffeomorphic to a product of three spheres, or to a connected sum of products of spheres, with two spheres in each product.

The simplest P with $m - n = 3$ is a (Delzant) pentagon, e.g.

$$P = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, -x_1 + 2 \geq 0, -x_2 + 2 \geq 0, -x_1 - x_2 + 3 \geq 0 \right\}.$$

In this case \mathcal{R}_P is an oriented surface of genus 5, and \mathcal{Z}_P is diffeomorphic to a connected sum of 5 copies of $S^3 \times S^4$.

Get an H-minimal Lagrangian submanifold $N_P \subset \mathbb{C}^5$ which is the total space of a bundle over T^3 with fibre a surface of genus 5.

Proposition 5. *Let P be an m -gon. Then \mathcal{R}_P is an orientable surface S_g of genus $g = 1 + 2^{m-3}(m - 4)$.*

Get an H-minimal Lagrangian submanifold $N_P \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ which is the total space of a bundle over T^{m-2} with fibre S_g . It is an aspherical manifold (for $m \geq 4$) whose fundamental group enters into the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(S_g) \longrightarrow \pi_1(N) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m-2} \longrightarrow 1.$$

For $n > 2$ and $m - n > 3$ the topology of \mathcal{R}_P and \mathcal{Z}_P is even more complicated.

Geometric structures II. Non-Kähler complex structures. (joint with Yuri Ustinovskiy).

Recall: if $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_P$ is the dual triangulation of a simple convex polytope P , then $\mathcal{Z}_P = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}_P}$ has a canonical smooth structure (e.g. as a nondegenerate intersection of Hermitian quadrics in \mathbb{C}^m).

Let \mathcal{K} be a sphere triangulation, i.e. $|\mathcal{K}| \cong S^{n-1}$.

A realisation $|\mathcal{K}| \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is **starshaped** if there is a point $\mathbf{x} \notin |\mathcal{K}|$ such that any ray from \mathbf{x} intersects $|\mathcal{K}|$ in exactly one point.

A convex triangulation \mathcal{K}_P is starshaped, but not vice versa!

\mathcal{K} has a starshaped realisation if and only if it is the underlying complexes of a **complete simplicial fan** Σ .

Also recall $U(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \bigcup_{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \notin \mathcal{K}} \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : z_{i_1} = \dots = z_{i_k} = 0\}$.

Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the generators of the 1-dimensional cones of Σ . Consider the linear map

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathbf{e}_i \mapsto \mathbf{a}_i,$$

where $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^m . Define

$$R_{\Sigma} := \exp(\text{Ker } \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}) = \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^m : \prod_{i=1}^m y_i^{\langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{u} \rangle} = 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\},$$

$R_{\Sigma} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>}^m$ acts on $U(\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ by coordinatewise multiplications.

Theorem 7. *Let \mathcal{K} be the underlying complex of a complete simplicial fan Σ . Then*

- (a) R_{Σ} acts on $U(\mathcal{K})$ freely and properly, so the quotient $U(\mathcal{K})/R_{\Sigma}$ has a canonical structure of a smooth $(m + n)$ -manifold;
- (b) $U(\mathcal{K})/R_{\Sigma}$ is \mathbb{T}^m -equivariantly homeomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Therefore, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ can be smoothed canonically.

Assume $m - n$ is even and set $\ell = \frac{m-n}{2}$.

Choose a linear map $\Psi: \mathbb{C}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ satisfying the two conditions:

(a) $\text{Re} \circ \Psi: \mathbb{C}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a monomorphism.

(b) $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \text{Re} \circ \Psi = 0$.

Now set

$$C_{\Psi, \Sigma} = \exp \Psi(\mathbb{C}^\ell) = \left\{ \left(e^{\langle \psi_1, \mathbf{w} \rangle}, \dots, e^{\langle \psi_m, \mathbf{w} \rangle} \right) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m \right\}.$$

Then $C_{\Psi, \Sigma} \cong \mathbb{C}^\ell$ is a complex-analytic (but not algebraic) subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$. It acts on $U(\mathcal{K})$ by holomorphic transformations.

Theorem 8. *Let \mathcal{K} be as before. Then*

(a) $C_{\Psi, \Sigma}$ acts on $U(\mathcal{K})$ freely and properly, so the quotient $U(\mathcal{K})/C_{\Psi, \Sigma}$ is a compact complex manifold of complex dimension $m - \ell$;

(b) there is a \mathbb{T}^m -equivariant diffeomorphism $U(\mathcal{K})/C_{\Psi, \Sigma} \cong \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ defining a complex structure on $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ in which \mathbb{T}^m acts holomorphically.

Theorem 9. Let \mathcal{K} be the underlying complex of a complete *rational regular* simplicial fan. Let k be the number of ghost vertices in \mathcal{K} . Then the Hodge numbers $h^{p,q} = h^{p,q}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}})$ satisfy

$$(a) \binom{k-\ell}{p} \leq h^{p,0} \leq \binom{[k/2]}{p} \text{ for } p \geq 0;$$

$$(b) h^{0,q} = \binom{\ell}{q} \text{ for } q \geq 0;$$

$$(c) h^{1,q} = (\ell - k) \binom{\ell}{q-1} + h^{1,0} \binom{\ell+1}{q} \text{ for } q \geq 1;$$

$$(d) \frac{\ell(3\ell+1)}{2} - h_2(\mathcal{K}) - \ell k + (\ell + 1)h^{2,0} \leq h^{2,1} \leq \frac{\ell(3\ell+1)}{2} - \ell k + (\ell + 1)h^{2,0}.$$

At most one ghost vertex is required to make $\dim \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} = m + n$ even. Note that $k \leq 1$ implies $h^{p,0}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}) = 0$, so that $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ does not have holomorphic forms of any degree in this case.

If $m = k = 2\ell$, then $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a torus, and $h^{1,0}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}) = h^{0,1}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}) = \ell$. Otherwise Theorem 9 implies that $h^{1,0}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}) < h^{0,1}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}})$, and therefore $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is not Kähler.

- [1] В. М. Бухштабер, Т. Е. Панов. *Действия тора в топологии и комбинаторике*. Москва, изд-во МЦНМО, 2004.
- [2] Andrey Mironov and Taras Panov. *Intersections of quadrics, moment-angle manifolds, and Hamiltonian-minimal Lagrangian embeddings*. Preprint (2011); arXiv:1103.4970.
- [3] Taras Panov. *Moment-angle manifolds and complexes*. Trends in Mathematics - New Series. Information Center for Mathematical Sciences, KAIST. Vol. 12 (2010), no. 1, pp. 43–69; arXiv:1008.5047.
- [4] Taras Panov and Yuri Ustinovsky. *Complex-analytic structures on moment-angle manifolds*. Moscow Math. J. (2011), to appear (2010); arXiv:1008.4764.