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#### Abstract

We plan to discuss how the ideas and methodology of Toric Topology can be applied to one of the classical subjects of algebraic topology: finding nice representatives in complex cobordism classes. Toric and quasitoric manifolds are the key players in the emerging field of Toric Topology, and they constitute a sufficiently wide class of stably complex manifolds to additively generate the whole complex cobordism ring. In other words, every stably complex manifold is cobordant to a manifold with a nicely behaving torus action.

An informative setting for applications of toric topology to complex cobordism is provided by the combinatorial and convex-geometrical study of analogous polytopes. By way of application, we give an explicit construction of a quasitoric representative for every complex cobordism class as the quotient of a free torus action on a real quadratic complete intersection. The latter is a yet another disguise of the moment-angle manifold, another familiar object of toric topology. We suggest a systematic description for omnioriented quasitoric manifolds in terms of combinatorial data, and explain the relationship with non-singular projective toric varieties (otherwise known as toric manifolds).
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Main Theorem . Every complex cobordism class in dim $>2$ contains quasitoric representative.


In cobordism theory, all manifolds are smooth and closed.

## Complex cobordism.

complex manifolds $\subset$ almost complex $\subset$ stably (almost) complex manifolds $\tau M^{n} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{N} \xrightarrow{\text { complex bundle }} M$
Quasitoric manifolds. manifold $M^{2 n}$ with "nice" $T^{n}$-action

- locally standard action
- The orbit space $M^{2 n} / T^{n}$ is a simple polytope.

Examples include projective smooth toric varieties and symplectic manifolds $M^{2 n}$ with Hamiltonian action of $T^{n}$.

## 1. Polytopes

$\mathbb{R}^{n}$ : Euclidean vector space.
$P=\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}\right\rangle+b_{i} \geq 0\right.$ for $\left.1 \leq i \leq m\right\}, \boldsymbol{a}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$. $H_{i}=\left\{\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}\right\rangle+b_{i}=0\right\}$, the $i$ th bounding hyperplane.

Assume:
(1) $\operatorname{dim} P=n$;
(2) $P$ is bounded.

Then $P$ is called a (convex) $n$-dimensional polytope.
A supporting hyperplane $H$ is characterised by the condition that $P$ lies within one of the halfspaces determined by $H$.

A proper face of $P$ is the intersection with a supporting hyperplane.
0 -dim faces are vertices.
1-dim faces are edges.
( $n-1$ )-dim faces are facets.
$n$-dim face is $P$.
Also assume:
(3) there are no redundant inequalities (cannot remove any inequality without changing $P$ ); then $P$ has exactly $m$ facets;
(4) bounding hyperplanes of $P$ intersect in general position at every vertex; then there are exactly $n$ facets of $P$ meeting at each vertex.
Then $P$ is a simple $n$ - $\operatorname{dim}$ polytope with $m$ facets.
The faces form a poset $\mathcal{L}(P)$ with respect to the inclusion. Two polytopes are said to be combinatorially equivalent if their face posets are isomorphic. The corresponding equivalence classes are called combinatorial polytopes.

Assume $\left|\boldsymbol{a}_{i}\right|=1$. Then $\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}\right\rangle+b_{i}$ is the distance from $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ to the $i$ th hyperplane $H_{i}$.

## 2. Moment angle manifolds

$P$ a simple polytope given as above, $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}=\left(a_{i 1}, \ldots, a_{i n}\right), 1 \leq i \leq m$.
Set $A_{P}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{a}_{1} \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{m}\end{array}\right)=\left(a_{i j}\right)(m \times n$-matrix $), \boldsymbol{b}_{P}=\left(\begin{array}{c}b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{m}\end{array}\right)$. Then can write $P$ as

$$
P=\left\{\boldsymbol{x}: \quad A_{P} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b}_{P} \geq 0\right\} .
$$

Define $i_{P}(\boldsymbol{x})=A_{P} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b}_{P}, \quad i_{P}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$, so we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{P}: & \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m} \\
& \cup \\
& P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{m}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right): y_{i} \geq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$i_{P}(P)$ is the intersection of an $n$-dim affine plane in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{m}$.
Consider the $m$-torus

$$
T^{m}=\left\{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)=\left(e^{2 \pi i \varphi_{1}}, \ldots, e^{2 \pi i \varphi_{m}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{m} ; \varphi_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

Then $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{m}$ is the orbit space of the standard $T^{m}$-action on $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ :

$$
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) \cdot\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)=\left(t_{1} z_{1}, \ldots, t_{m} z_{m}\right)
$$

The orbit projection is

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C}^{m} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{m} \\
\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right) & \mapsto & \left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}, \ldots,\left|z_{m}\right|^{2}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Now define the space $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$ from the pullback diagram


So $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$ is a $T^{m}$-space and $i_{\mathcal{Z}}: \mathcal{Z}_{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ is a $T^{m}$-equivariant embedding.
Example 2.1. $P^{2}=\left\{x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0,-x_{1}-x_{2}+1 \geq 0\right\}$ a triangle,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{P}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \text {, } \\
& i_{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\left\{A_{P} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b}_{P}\right\}=\left\{y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}=1\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
& \mathcal{Z}_{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3} \\
& \stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow} \underset{P^{2}}{\downarrow} \underset{\mathbb{R}^{3}}{\downarrow}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{P}=\left\{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{3}\right|^{2}=1\right\} \cong S^{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.2. $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$ is a smooth $T^{m}$-manifold with the canonical trivialisation of the normal bundle of $i_{\mathcal{Z}}: \mathcal{Z}_{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$.

Idea of proof.
(1) Write the image $i_{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ as the set of common solutions of $(m-n)$ linear equations in $y_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m$.
(2) Replace $y_{i}$ 's by $\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}$ 's to get a representation of $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$ as an intersection of $(m-n)$ real quadratic hypersurfaces.
(3) Check that (2) is a "complete" intersection, i.e. the gradients are linearly independent at each point of $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$.

In the presentation of $P$, let us fix $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, but allow for $b_{i}$ 's to change. Let us consider "virtual polytopes" analogous to $P$ ("analogous" here means "keep $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}$ 's, change $b_{i}$ 's'"), so
virtual polytope $=$ arrangement of half-spaces.
Let $\mathbb{R}(P)$ be the space of virtual polytopes analogous $P$.

$$
\left.\begin{aligned}
\kappa: \quad \mathbb{R}^{m} & \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(P) \quad \text { an isomorphism, } \\
& \boldsymbol{b}_{P}+\boldsymbol{h}
\end{aligned} \right\rvert\, P(\boldsymbol{h}) \quad:=\left\{\boldsymbol{x}: A_{P} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b}_{P}+\boldsymbol{h} \geq 0\right\}
$$

Remark 2.3. Sum in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ corresponds to Minkowski sum of polytopes in $\mathbb{R}(P)$.
Now define

$$
\chi_{P}=\kappa \circ i_{P}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(P)
$$

So $\chi_{P}(\boldsymbol{y})$ is the polytope congruent to $P$ obtained by translating the origin to $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Indeed, $i_{P}(\boldsymbol{y})=A_{P} \boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{b}_{P}$ and $\chi_{P}(\boldsymbol{y})=P\left(A_{P} \boldsymbol{y}\right)=\left\{\boldsymbol{x}: A_{P} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b}_{P}+\right.$ $\left.A_{P} \boldsymbol{y} \geq 0\right\}=P-\boldsymbol{y}$.
Assume that the first $n$ facets of $P$ meet at a vertex $v_{1}$, called the initial vertex. So $H_{1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{n}=v_{1}$ in $P$, and therefore $\left(H_{1}-\boldsymbol{h}\right) \cap \cdots \cap\left(H_{n}-\boldsymbol{h}\right)=v_{1}(\boldsymbol{h})$ is the initial vertex of $P(\boldsymbol{h})$. Denote

$$
d_{i}(\boldsymbol{h})=\text { distance between } v_{1}(\boldsymbol{h}) \text { and } H_{i}+\boldsymbol{h},
$$

so $d_{i}(\boldsymbol{h})=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define $C: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ by

$$
C\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{P}+\boldsymbol{h}\right)=\left(d_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{h}), \ldots, d_{m}(\boldsymbol{h})\right) .
$$

In other words,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C: & \mathbb{R}(P) \\
& \rightarrow \\
& P(\boldsymbol{h})
\end{aligned} \mapsto \quad\left(d_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{h}), \ldots, d_{m}^{m-n}(\boldsymbol{h})\right)
$$

Claim 1. The sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \xrightarrow{A_{P}} \mathbb{R}^{m} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbb{R}^{m-n} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
Proof. Use the fact that $d_{i}$ are metric invariants, so they take the same values on congruent polytopes.

In what follows assume $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}=\boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$; so we have

$$
A_{P}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
a_{n+1,1} & \ldots & \cdots & a_{n+1, n} \\
\cdots \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \cdots & \cdots \cdots \\
a_{m, 1} & \ldots & \cdots & a_{m, n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(a_{i j}\right) .
$$

Example 2.4. $\kappa: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(P)$ maps the basis vector $\boldsymbol{e}_{j}$ to the virtual polytope $P\left(-\boldsymbol{b}_{P}+\boldsymbol{e}_{j}\right)=: P_{j}$; then

$$
d_{i}\left(P_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
-a_{i, j} & \text { if } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
\delta_{i j} & \text { if } n+1 \leq j \leq m,
\end{array} \quad \text { for } n+1 \leq i \leq m,\right.
$$

and $C$ is given by the $(m-n) \times m$ matrix

$$
C=\left(c_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
-a_{n+1,1} & \ldots & -a_{n+1, n} & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-a_{n+2,1} & \ldots & -a_{n+2, n} & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
-a_{m, 1} & \ldots & -a_{m, n} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Step (1). We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & =\left\{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}: \boldsymbol{y}=A_{P} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b}_{P} \text { for some } \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\boldsymbol{y}: C \boldsymbol{y}-C \boldsymbol{b}_{P}=0\right\} \\
& \left(m-n \text { linear equations in } \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step (2). Then

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{P}=\left\{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{m}: \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{j k}\left(\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}-b_{k}\right)=0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-n\right\}
$$

Step (3). Now we want to check that the gradients in the presentation of $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$ in Step (2) are linearly independent at each point. Write $z_{k}=q_{k}+\sqrt{-1} r_{k}$; then the gradients are given by

$$
2\left(c_{j 1} q_{1}, c_{j 1} r_{1}, \ldots, c_{j m} q_{m}, c_{j m} r_{m}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-n .
$$

So the gradients form the rows of the $(m-n) \times 2 m$ matrix $2 C R$, where

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
q_{1} & r_{1} & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & q_{2} & r_{2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & q_{m} & r_{m}
\end{array}\right) \quad m \times 2 m \text { matrix }
$$

Assume that $q_{j_{1}}=r_{j_{1}}=\cdots=q_{j_{k}}=r_{j_{k}}=0$ at $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Z}_{P}$ so that $\left(z_{j_{1}}=\cdots=z_{j_{k}}=\right.$ $0)$. Then the corresponding facets $F_{j_{1}}, \ldots, F_{j_{k}}$ of $P$ intersect nontrivially. The condition $C A_{P}=0$ guarantees that the submatrix obtained form $C$ by deleting the columns $\boldsymbol{c}_{j_{1}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{c}_{j_{k}}$ has rank $m-n$. Then rank of $2 C R$ is also $m-n$.
$\mathcal{Z}_{P}$ is called the moment angle manifold corresponding to $P$.
Remark 2.5. It can be proved that the equivariant smooth structure on $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$ depends only on the combinatorial type of $P$.

Summary (reminder). Given a simple polytope

$$
P=\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}\right\rangle+b_{i} \geq 0 \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}, \boldsymbol{a}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

with $m$ facets

$$
F_{i}=\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}\right\rangle+b_{i}=0\right\} \cap P, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m
$$

The facets are finely ordered, i.e.

$$
F_{1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{n}=v_{1} \text { the initial vertex }
$$

May specify $P$ by the matrix inequality $A_{P} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b}_{P} \geq 0$, where
$A_{P}: m \times n$ matrix of row vectors $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}$,
$\boldsymbol{b}_{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}:$ column vector of scalar $b_{i}$

The intersection of the affine subspace $A_{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)+\boldsymbol{b}_{P}$ with the positive cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{m}$ is a copy of $P$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{P}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}, i_{P}(\boldsymbol{x})=A_{P} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b}_{P} \text { affine, injective } \\
& \text { moment angle manifold } \mathcal{Z}_{P} \stackrel{i_{\mathcal{Z}}}{\longrightarrow} \\
& \downarrow \mathbb{C}^{m} \\
& P \xrightarrow{i_{P}} \\
& \downarrow \rho \\
& \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to describe the isotropy subgroups of points of $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$ with respect to the $T^{m}$-action. We may write

$$
T^{m}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} T_{i}
$$

where $T_{i}:=\{(1, \ldots, 1, t, 1, \ldots, 1)\} \subset T^{m}$ is the $i$-th coordinate subcircle. Given a multiindex $I=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subset[m]=\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, define the corresponding coordinate subgroup of $T^{m}$ as

$$
T_{I}:=\prod_{i \in I} T_{i} \subset T^{m}
$$

Now take $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$. Its isotropy subgroup with respect to the coordinatewise $T^{m_{-}}$ action is

$$
T_{\boldsymbol{z}}^{m}=\left\{\boldsymbol{t} \in T^{m}: \boldsymbol{t} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{z}\right\} \subset T^{m} .
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
T_{z}^{m}=T_{\omega(z)}
$$

where $\omega(\boldsymbol{z})=\left\{i \in[m]: z_{i}=0\right\} \subset[m]$. Obviously, every coordinate subgroup of $T^{m}$ arises as $T_{\omega(\boldsymbol{z})}$ for some $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$. However not every coordinate subgroup of $T^{m}$ arises as the isotropy subgroup for some $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Z}_{P}$.
The isotropy subgroups of the $T^{m}$-action on $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$ are described as follows. Given $p \in P$, set

$$
F(p):=\bigcap_{p \in F_{i}} F_{i} .
$$

It is the unique face of $P$ containing $p$ in its relative interior. Note

- if $p$ is a vertex, then $F(p)=p$;
- if $p \in \operatorname{int} P$, then $F(p)=P$.

Now set

$$
T(p)=\prod_{p \in F_{i}} T_{i} \subset T^{m}
$$

Note that $0 \leq \operatorname{dim} T(p) \leq n\left(\because P^{n}\right.$ is simple $)$.
Now if $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Z}_{P}$, then $\rho(\boldsymbol{z}) \in P$, and

$$
T_{z}^{m}=T(\rho(\boldsymbol{z})) .
$$

## 3. Quasitoric manifolds

Assume given $P$ as above, and an $n \times m$ matrix

$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \lambda_{1, n+1} & \ldots & \lambda_{1, m} \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & \lambda_{2, n+1} & \ldots & \lambda_{2, m} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right)=\left(I_{n}, \Lambda_{*}\right),
$$

$I_{n}: n \times n$ unit matrix,

$$
\Lambda_{*}: n \times(m-n) \text { matrix }
$$

satisfying
$(*)$ the columns $\lambda_{j_{1}}, \ldots, \lambda_{j_{n}}$ corresponding to any vertex $F_{j_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap F_{j_{n}}$ of $P$ form a basis for $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Definition 3.1. A combinatorial quasitoric pair is $(P, \Lambda)$ as above.
We may view $\Lambda$ as a homomorphism $T^{m} \rightarrow T^{n}$. Now set

$$
K(\Lambda)=\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{m} \xrightarrow{\Lambda} T^{n}\right) \cong T^{m-n} .
$$

Proposition 3.2. $K(\Lambda)$ acts freely on $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$.
Proof. The map $\Lambda: T^{m} \rightarrow T^{n}$ is injective when restricted to $T(p)$, for all $p \in P$. Therefore, $K(\Lambda)$ meets every isotropy subgroup of the $T^{m}$-action on $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$ trivially.

Definition 3.3. The quotient

$$
M(P, \Lambda):=\mathcal{Z}_{P} / K(\Lambda)
$$

is the quasitoric manifold corresponding to $(P, \Lambda)$. The $2 n$-dimensional manifold $M=M(P, \Lambda)$ has a $T^{n} \cong T^{m} / K(\Lambda)$-action which satisfies the two DavisJanuszkiewicz conditions:
(a) the $T^{n}$-action $\alpha: T^{n} \times M^{2 n} \rightarrow M^{2 n}$ is locally standard, or locally isomorphic to the standard coordinatewise representation of $T^{n}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. More precisely, every $\boldsymbol{x} \in M$ is contained in a $T^{n}$-invariant neighborhood $U(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset M$ for which there is a $T^{n}$-invariant subset $W \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$, an automorphism $\theta: T^{n} \rightarrow$ $T^{n}$, and a homeomorphism $f: U(\boldsymbol{x}) \rightarrow W$ satisfying $f(\boldsymbol{t y})=\theta(\boldsymbol{t}) f(\boldsymbol{y})$ for all $\boldsymbol{t} \in T^{n}, \boldsymbol{y} \in U(\boldsymbol{x})$.
(b) there is a projection $\pi: M \rightarrow P$ whose fibres are orbits of $\alpha$.

It follows from the construction that $M$ is canonically smooth.
Question 3.4 (open). Unlike $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$, we don't know whether the equivariant smooth structure on $M$ is unique.

Example 3.5. Assume that the initial vertex $v_{1}$ is the origin, and the first $n$ normal vectors $\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_{n}$ form the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. (We can always achieve this by applying an affine transformation). Then

$$
A_{P}^{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & a_{n+1,1} & \ldots & a_{m, 1} \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & a_{n+1,2} & \ldots & a_{m, 2} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \ldots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & a_{n+1, n} & \ldots & a_{m, n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

has the same form as $\Lambda$, although with real (rather than integer) matrix elements. We can always achieve that $P$ has integral coordinates of vertices without changing its combinatorial type. So we may assume $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, condition (*) on
the minors of $\Lambda$ is more severe: there are combinatorial polytopes with no integral realisation satisfying (*). But if you can realise $P$ so that $A_{P}^{t}$ satisfies ( $*$ ), then

$$
M(P)=\mathcal{Z}_{P} / K\left(A_{P}^{t}\right)
$$

is the projective toric variety corresponding to $P$.

## Example 3.6.

1. 
2. 


3.


1. $\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1\end{array}\right)=A_{P}^{t}$, and $K(\Lambda)=\langle(t, t, t)\rangle \subset T^{3}$, the diagonal subcircle.

Then

$$
M(P)=\mathcal{Z}_{P} / K(\Lambda)=S^{5} / S^{1} \cong \mathbb{C} P^{2}
$$

The $T^{2}$-action is given by

$$
\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \cdot\left(z_{0}: z_{1}: z_{2}\right)=\left(z_{0}: t_{1} z_{1}: t_{2} z_{2}\right)
$$

2. $\quad \Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1\end{array}\right)$, and $M(P)=\overline{\mathbb{C} P^{2}}$ (the standard orientation is reversed).

The action is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \cdot\left(z_{0}: z_{1}: z_{2}\right)=\left(z_{0}: t_{1} z_{1}: t_{2}^{-1} z_{2}\right) \\
\text { 3. } \Lambda= & \left(\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad M \cong\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right) \#\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $T^{n}$-action on $M$ is free over the interior $\operatorname{int} P=P^{\circ}$.

$$
\boldsymbol{p} \in P^{\circ}, \quad \pi^{-1}(\boldsymbol{p})=(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{t}), \quad \pi: M \rightarrow P
$$

We orient $M$ using the decomposition

$$
\tau_{(p, t)} M \cong \tau_{\boldsymbol{p}} P \oplus \tau_{t} T^{n}
$$

by insisting that $\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)$ is a positive basis of $\tau_{(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{t})} M$ whenever

$$
\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)>0 \text { in } \tau_{\boldsymbol{p}} P=\mathbb{R}^{n} \text { and }\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right)>0 \text { in } \tau_{\boldsymbol{t}} T^{n}
$$

This is similar to orienting $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ by the basis $\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, i \boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{e}_{n}, i \boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right)$.
Corollary 3.7. $M$ is canonically oriented by the orientations of $P$ and $T^{n}$.
The facial (or characteristic) submanifolds of $M$ are defined as

$$
M_{i}:=\pi^{-1}\left(F_{i}\right)=\mathcal{Z}_{F_{i}} / K \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq m .
$$

$\mathcal{Z}_{F_{i}}$ is the fixed point set of $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$ with respect to the action of $T_{i} \subset T^{m}$. So $M_{i} \subset$ $M$ is fixed by the circle subgroup $\Lambda\left(T_{i}\right) \subset T^{n}$ determined by the $i$ th column of $\Lambda: T^{m} \rightarrow T^{n}$.
Let $\mathbb{C}_{i}$ denote the 1-dim complex $T^{m}$-representation defined via the quotient projection $\mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{i}$ onto the $i$ th factor. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}_{P} \times_{K} \mathbb{C}_{i}=\{ & \left.(\boldsymbol{z}, w): \boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Z}_{P}, w \in \mathbb{C}_{i}\right\} / \sim \\
& (\boldsymbol{z}, w) \sim\left(\boldsymbol{z} t^{-1}, t w\right) \text { for every } t \in K .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have a complex line bundle

$$
\rho_{i}: \mathcal{Z}_{P} \times_{K} \mathbb{C}_{i} \rightarrow M
$$

over $M$ whose restriction to $M_{i}$ is the normal bundle of the inclusion $M_{i} \hookrightarrow M$.

Definition 3.8. The ominiorientation of $M$ is a choice of orientation for $M$ and for every $M_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m$.
By the above considerations, $(P, \Lambda)$ determines a canonical omniorientation for $M(P, \Lambda)$.

## 4. Cobordism theories

4.1. General notion of cobordism. All manifolds are closed, smooth.

Definition 4.1. $M_{1}^{n}$ and $M_{2}^{n}$ are (co)bordant (notation: $M_{1}^{n} \sim M_{2}^{n}$ ) if there exists a manifold $W^{n+1}$ with boundary such that $\partial W^{n+1}=M_{1} \sqcup M_{2}$.

Proposition 4.2. $\sim$ is an equivalence relation.
Proof.
(1) $M \sim M$. Indeed, $W=M \times[0,1]$;
(2) $M_{1} \sim M_{2} \Rightarrow M_{2} \sim M_{1}$ obvious;
(3) $M_{1} \sim M_{2} \& M_{2} \sim M_{3} \Longrightarrow M_{1} \sim M_{3}$.


Denote by $[M]$ the cobordism equivalence class of $M$. $\Omega_{n}^{O}=\left\{\left[M^{n}\right]\right\}$ the set of cobordism classes of $n$-dimensional manifolds.
Proposition 4.3. $\Omega_{n}^{O}$ an abelian group with respect to $\left[M_{1}^{n}\right]+\left[M_{2}^{n}\right]=\left[M_{1}^{n} \sqcup M_{2}^{n}\right]$.
Proof. Zero is the cobordism class of an empty set, $-[M]=[M]$.
In particular, $\Omega_{n}^{O}$ is a 2-torsion.
Set $\Omega_{*}^{O}:=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Omega_{n}^{O}$.
Proposition 4.4. $\Omega_{*}^{O}$ is a ring with respect to $\left[M_{1}\right] \times\left[M_{2}\right]=\left[M_{1} \times M_{2}\right]$.
$\Omega_{*}^{O}$ is called the unoriented (co)bordism ring (in fact, it is a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-algebra).
4.2. Oriented cobordism. Now all manifolds are oriented.
$M_{1}^{n} \sim M_{2}^{n}$ if there is an oriented $W^{n+1}$ such that $\partial W=M_{1} \sqcup \overline{M_{2}}$ where $\overline{M_{2}}$ denotes $M_{2}$ with orientation reversed.
$\Omega_{*}^{S O}$ is defined in the same way as $\Omega_{*}^{O}$ except $-[M]=[\bar{M}]$. So $\Omega_{*}^{S O}$ is no longer a 2 -torsion! It is a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra.
Remark 4.5. $\left[M_{1}\right]+\left[M_{2}\right]=\left[M_{1} \# M_{2}\right]$. In other words, $M_{1} \sqcup M_{2} \sim M_{1} \# M_{2}$.


## Example 4.6.

1. $\Omega_{0}^{O} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$ (with two cobordism classes $\emptyset$ and $\cdot=p t$ ).
2. $\Omega_{1}^{O}=0$ (every 1-manifold bounds).
3. $\Omega_{2}^{O} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$ with generator $\left[\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right]$;
$2\left[\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right]=\left[\mathbb{R} P^{2} \# \mathbb{R} P^{2}\right]=\left[K^{2}\right]=0$.
Here $K^{2}$ is the Klein bottle (it bounds).
4. $\Omega_{3}^{O} \cong 0$ elementary, but hard. Established by Rohlin in 1951.
5. $\Omega_{*}^{O}$ was completely calculated by Thom in 1954 using algebraic and homotopy methods.

## Example 4.7.

1. $\Omega_{0}^{S O} \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The generator is $[p t]$.
2. $\Omega_{1}^{S O}=0$.
3. $\Omega_{2}^{S O}=0$ (every oriented 2-manifold bounds).
4. $\Omega_{3}^{S O}=0$ by Rohlin.
5. $\Omega_{4}^{S O} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with generator [ $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ ]; hard.
6. $\Omega_{*}^{S O}$ was completely calculated by the efforts of several people by 1960 .

Exercise 4.8. $\mathbb{R} P^{2 n+1}, \mathbb{C} P^{2 n+1}$ bound.
4.3. Complex cobordism. Idea: try to work with complex manifolds. This runs into a complication as $W$ cannot be complex. The remedy is to consider complex structures on $M$ up to "stabilisation", i.e. assume chosen a real bundle isomorphism

$$
c_{\tau}: \tau(M) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \xi
$$

where $\tau(M)$ denotes the tangent bundle, $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ a trivial real $k$-plane bundle over $M$, and $\xi$ a complex bundle over $M$.

Definition 4.9. A (tangentially) stably complex manifold is an equivalence class of pairs $\left(M, c_{\tau}\right)$ as above, where $\left(M, c_{\tau}\right) \sim\left(M, c_{\tau^{\prime}}\right)$ if there are some $m, m^{\prime}$ and a complex bundle isomorphism $\xi \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \xi^{\prime} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m^{\prime}}$ such that the composition

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\tau(M) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m} \xrightarrow{c_{\tau} \oplus i d} & \xi \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m} \\
\tau(M) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k^{\prime}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{c_{\tau^{\prime}} \oplus i d} & \downarrow \cong
\end{array} \quad \xi^{\prime} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{m^{\prime}} .
$$

is an isomorphism of real bundles.
FACT 1. We can do cobordism with tangentially stably complex manifolds. The opposite element in the resulting cobordism group is given by

$$
-\left[M, c_{\tau}\right]:=\left[M, \bar{c}_{\tau}\right]
$$

where $\bar{c}_{\tau}: \tau\left(M^{n}\right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \bar{\xi}$ (the conjugate stably complex structure).
If $M$ is an (almost) complex manifold then it has the canonical tangentially stably complex structure $i d=c_{\tau}: \tau(M) \rightarrow \tau(M)$.
Example 4.10. $M=\mathbb{C} P^{1}$. Then we have a complex bundle isomorphism

$$
\alpha: \tau\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cong \bar{\eta} \oplus \bar{\eta}
$$

where $\eta$ is the Hopf line bundle. So $\left[\mathbb{C} P^{1}, \alpha\right]$ is the canonical stably complex structure. The opposite element $-\left[\mathbb{C} P^{1}, \alpha\right]$ is determined by the real bundle isomorphism

$$
\tau\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \eta \oplus \eta
$$

Finally, the real bundle isomorphism

$$
\beta: \tau\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \eta \oplus \bar{\eta} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

gives rise to the trivial stably complex structure on $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$.

FACT 2. $\Omega_{2}^{U} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, genetated by [ $\left.\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right]$.

### 4.4. Generalised (co)homology theories.

Definition 4.11. Let $X$ be a "good" topological space. Define $O_{n}(X)$ as the set cobordism classes of maps $M^{n} \rightarrow X$, where $\left(M_{1} \rightarrow X\right) \sim\left(M_{2} \rightarrow X\right)$ if there is $W$ such that $\partial W=M_{1} \sqcup M_{2}$ and the map $M_{1} \sqcup M_{2} \rightarrow X$ extends to $W$ :

$O_{*}(X)$ satisfied 3 of 4 Steenrod axioms for homology theory. It is

- homotopy invariant;
- has exact sequences of pairs;
- has the excision axiom.

But $O_{*}(p t)=\Omega_{*}^{O}$. The forth Steenrod axiom fails. So $O_{*}(X)$ gives rise to a generalised homology theory.
We can also define the "cohomology theory" $O^{*}(X)$, with

$$
O^{*}(p t)=O_{-*}(p t)
$$

In other words, $\Omega_{O}^{*}=\Omega_{-*}^{O}$.
Other (co)bordism theories $S O_{*}(X), S O^{*}(X), U_{*}(X), U^{*}(X)$ are treated similarly.
Another common notation: use $M O^{*}(X), M S O^{*}(X)$, etc. instead of $O^{*}(X)$, $S O^{*}(X)$, etc.

### 4.5. Main results on cobordism.

$O: M, \quad w(\tau M)=1+w_{1}(\tau M)+w_{2}(\tau M)+\ldots \quad$ total Stiefel-Whitney class
$S O: M, \quad p(\tau M)=1+p_{1}(\tau M)+p_{2}(\tau M)+\ldots \quad$ total Pontrjagin class
$U:\left(M, c_{\tau}, \xi\right), \quad c(\xi)=1+c_{1}(\xi)+c_{2}(\xi)+\ldots \quad$ total Chern class of $\xi$
Given a sequence $\omega=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ such that $i_{1}+2 i_{2}+\cdots+k i_{k}=n$ (a partition of $n$ ), define the corresponding characteristic numbers as

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{\omega}\left(M^{n}\right) & =w_{1}^{i_{1}} w_{2}^{i_{2}} \ldots w_{k}^{i_{k}}(\tau M)\langle M\rangle \in \mathbb{Z} / 2, & & \operatorname{dim} M=n, \\
p_{\omega}\left(M^{4 n}\right) & =p_{1}^{i_{1}} p_{2}^{i_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{i_{k}}(\tau M)\langle M\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, & & \operatorname{dim} M=4 n, \\
c_{\omega}\left(M^{2 n}, \xi\right) & =c_{1}^{i_{1}} c_{2}^{i_{2}} \ldots c_{k}^{i_{k}}(\xi)\langle M\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, & & \operatorname{dim} M=2 n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle M\rangle$ denotes the fundamental homology class of $M$ (with $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ or $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients).
Example 4.12. $M^{4}=\mathbb{C} P^{2}, \xi=\tau(M), \tau\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right) \oplus \mathbb{C}=\bar{\eta} \oplus \bar{\eta} \oplus \bar{\eta}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(\tau(M))=(1+u)^{3}=1+\underbrace{3 u}_{c_{1}}+\underbrace{3 u^{2}}_{c_{2}}, \text { where } u=c_{1}(\bar{\eta}) \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right), \\
& c_{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right)=3, \quad c_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right)=9, \quad u^{2}\left\langle\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right\rangle=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.13 (Thom, Milnor).

1. $M_{1} \sim M_{2}$ unorientedly cobordant $\Leftrightarrow \forall \omega, w_{\omega}\left(M_{1}\right)=w_{\omega}\left(M_{2}\right)$.
2. $\left[M_{1}\right]-\left[M_{2}\right]$ is a torsion element in $\Omega_{*}^{S O} \Leftrightarrow \forall \omega, p_{\omega}\left(M_{1}\right)=p_{\omega}\left(M_{2}\right)$.
3. $\left(M_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \sim\left(M_{2}, \xi_{2}\right)$ complex cobordant $\Leftrightarrow \forall \omega, c_{w}\left(M_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)=c_{w}\left(M_{2}, \xi_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 4.14 (Thom'1954). $\Omega_{*}^{O} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2\left[\left\{a_{i}, i \neq 2^{k}-1\right\}\right]$ with $\operatorname{deg} a_{i}=i$. So in small dimensions, $\Omega_{*}^{O} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2\left[a_{2}, a_{4}, a_{5}, \ldots\right]$.. Moreover, we can take $a_{2 n}=\left[\mathbb{R} P^{2 n}\right]$.
Theorem 4.15 (Novikov, Milnor, Averbuh, Wall, Rohlin, Thom).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{*}^{U} & \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right], & \operatorname{deg} a_{i} & =2 i \\
\Omega_{*}^{S O} / \text { Tors } & \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right], & \operatorname{deg} b_{i} & =4 i
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $\Omega_{*}^{S O}$ has only 2-torsion, which is completely described.
Remark 4.16. Over rationals, the cobordism rings look much simpler:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{*}^{U} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & =\mathbb{Q}\left[\left[\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right],\left[\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right], \ldots\right] \\
\Omega_{*}^{S O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & =\mathbb{Q}\left[\left[\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right],\left[\mathbb{C} P^{4}\right], \ldots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In what follows we consider only complex cobordism. Write formally the total Chern class of $\left(M^{2 n}, \xi\right)$ as

$$
c(\xi)=1+c_{1}(\xi)+\cdots+c_{n}(\xi)=\left(1+x_{1}\right) \ldots\left(1+x_{n}\right),
$$

so $c_{i}(\xi)=\sigma_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is the $i$ th elementary symmetric function. Consider $P_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{n}+\cdots+x_{n}^{n}$ and express it as a polynomial in elementary symmetric functions, $P_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=s_{n}\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)$.
Definition 4.17. $s_{n}\left(M^{2 n}, \xi\right)=s_{n}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)\langle M\rangle$.
Theorem 4.18. $\left[M^{2 n}\right]$ can be taken as a multiplicative generator of $\Omega_{*}^{U}$ in degree $2 n$ if and only if
$s_{n}\left(M^{2 n}, \xi\right)= \pm \mu(n+1)$ where $\mu(k)= \begin{cases}p & \text { if there is a prime } p \text { such that } k=p^{s}, \\ 1 & \text { else. }\end{cases}$ in other words, $s_{n}\left(M^{2 n}\right)= \pm 1$ except for $n=p^{s}-1$ in which case $s_{n}\left(M^{2 n}\right)= \pm p$.
Example 4.19. Can we take $\left[\mathbb{C} P^{n}\right]$ as a generator of $\Omega_{2 n}^{U}$ ?

1. $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ :
$P_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, s_{1}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right)=c_{1}\left\langle\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right\rangle=2$. Since $n=1=2^{1}-1,\left[\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right]$ is a generator or $\Omega_{2}^{U}$.
2. $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ :

$$
P_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{2}-2 x_{1} x_{2}=c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}, \text { so } s_{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right)=
$$ $\left(c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}\right)\left\langle\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right\rangle=3$. Since $n=2=3^{1}-1,\left[\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right]$ is a generator of $\Omega_{4}^{U}$.

3. $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ :

In general, $s_{n}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{n}\right)=n+1\left(\right.$ Exercise; use the fact $\tau\left(\mathbb{C} P^{n}\right) \oplus \mathbb{C}=\bar{\eta} \oplus$ $\cdots \oplus \bar{\eta})$. So for $n=3, s_{3}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{3}\right)=4$. Since $n=3=2^{2}-1$, one should have $s_{3}(M)= \pm 2$ for a generator, and $\left[\mathbb{C} P^{3}\right]$ is not a generator!

Example 4.20 (Milnor hypersurfaces). Given two integers $1 \leq i \leq j$, consider the following hypersurface in $\mathbb{C} P^{i} \times \mathbb{C} P^{j}$ :

$$
H_{i, j}=\left\{\left(z_{0}: \cdots: z_{i}\right) \times\left(w_{0}: \cdots: w_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{C} P^{i} \times \mathbb{C} P^{j}: z_{0} w_{0}+\cdots+z_{i} w_{i}=0\right\}
$$

Consider $\mathbb{C}^{i+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{j+1}$ embedded onto first $i+1$ coordinates.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C} P^{i} & =\left\{l \subset \mathbb{C}^{i+1}\right\} \\
E & =\left\{(l, \alpha): l \text { a line in } \mathbb{C}^{i+1}, \alpha \text { a hyperplane in } \mathbb{C}^{j+1} \text { containing } l\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have a fibration $\mathbb{C} P^{j+1} \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{i}$.

Proposition 4.21. $E=H_{i, j}$.
Also, set $H_{0, j}=\mathbb{C} P^{j-1}$.
Exercise 4.22. $s_{i+j-1}\left(H_{i, j}\right)=\binom{i+j}{i+1}$.
Corollary 4.23. $\Omega_{*}^{U}$ is multiplicatively generated by the set of cobordism classes $\left\{\left[H_{i, j}\right], 0 \leq i \leq j\right\}$.

Proof. Use the fact that

$$
\underset{1 \leq j \leq k-1}{\operatorname{gcd}}\left\{\binom{k}{j}\right\}= \begin{cases}p & \text { if } k=p^{s} \\ 1 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

## 5. (Quasi)toric representatives in complex cobordism classes

Theorem 5.1. In dim $>2$, every complex cobordism class contains a quasitoric manifold, necessarily connected, whose stably complex structure is compatible with the action of the torus.

## Plan of proof.

1. Identify equivariant stably complex structures on quasitoric manifolds.
2. Observe that $H_{i, j}$ are not quasitoric manifolds.
3. Replace $H_{i, j}$ by a toric manifold, denoted $B_{i, j}$, with the same characteristic number $s_{i+j-1}$. This provides a set of toric multiplicative generators for $\Omega_{*}^{U}$.
4. Replace disjoint unions by connected sums. This is tricky because we need to keep track of both the action and the stably complex structure.
The above theorem provides a solution to a toric version of the following famous problem:
Problem 5.2 (Hirzebruch). Describe cobordism classes in $\Omega_{*}^{U}$ which have connected algebraic representatives.
Example 5.3. We have $\Omega_{2}^{U}=\left\langle\left[\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right]\right\rangle$. For $k \leq 1$, the class $k\left[\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right]$ contains a Riemanian surface of genus $1-k$. But $k\left[\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right]$ with $k>1$ does not contain a connected algebraic representative. So the solution to the above problem in dim 2 is given by the inequality $c_{1}(M) \leq 2$.
In dimension 4 (complex 2), some similar inequalities for $c_{1}^{2}$ and $c_{2}$ are known, but the complete answer is open.

### 5.1. Equivariant stably complex structure on quasitoric manifolds.

Recall: $i_{\mathcal{Z}}: \mathcal{Z}_{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ the framed $T^{m}$-equivariant embedding of the moment-angle manifold, $(P, \Lambda)$ a combinatorial quasitoric pair,

$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \lambda_{1, n+1} & \ldots & \lambda_{1, m} \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & \lambda_{2, n+1} & \ldots & \lambda_{2, m} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & \lambda_{n, n+1} & \ldots & \lambda_{n, m}
\end{array}\right),
$$

$M(P, \Lambda)=\mathcal{Z}_{P} / K(\Lambda)$ the associated omnioriented quasitoric manifold,

$$
\rho_{i}: \mathcal{Z}_{P} \times_{K} \mathbb{C}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{P} / K=M
$$

a $T^{n}=T^{m} / K$-equivariant $\mathbb{C}$-line bundle over $M$.
Theorem 5.4. There is a real bundle isomorphism

$$
\tau(M) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2(m-n)} \cong \rho_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{m}
$$

Proof. There is a $T^{m}$-invariant decomposition

$$
\tau\left(\mathcal{Z}_{P}\right) \oplus \nu\left(i_{\mathcal{Z}}\right) \cong \mathcal{Z}_{P} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}
$$

obtained by restricting $\tau\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}\right)$ to $\mathcal{Z}_{P}$. Factoring out $K=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Lambda: T^{m} \rightarrow T^{n}\right)$ gives

$$
\tau(M) \oplus(\xi / K) \oplus\left(\nu\left(i_{\mathcal{Z}}\right) / K\right) \cong \mathcal{Z}_{P} \times_{K} \mathbb{C}^{m}
$$

where $\xi$ denotes the $(m-n)$-plane bundle of tangents along the fibres of $\mathcal{Z}_{P} \rightarrow M$. Both $\xi$ and $\nu\left(i_{\mathcal{Z}}\right)$ are trivial real $(m-n)$-plane bundles. Moreover, the matrix $A_{P}$ provides a canonical framing (trivialisation) of $\nu_{\mathcal{Z}}$, as described in Section 2. Similarly, the matrix $\Lambda$ provides a canonical choice of basis in $K=\operatorname{ker} \Lambda$, and therefore a canonical framing of $\xi$. It remains to note that

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{P} \times_{K} \mathbb{C}^{m}=\rho_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{m}
$$

Remark 5.5. Everything is $T^{m} / K$-invariant.
Definition 5.6. Assume $N$ is a $G$-manifold, $\alpha: G \times N \rightarrow N$ the action. A stably complex structure $c_{\tau}: \tau(N) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \xi$ is said to be $G$-equivariant if

$$
\xi \xrightarrow{c_{\tau}^{-1}} \tau(N) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k} \xrightarrow{d \alpha(g, \cdot) \oplus i d} \tau(N) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k} \xrightarrow{c_{\tau}} \xi
$$

is an isomorphism of complex bundles for every $g \in G$.
Corollary 5.7. The quasitoric manifold $M(P, \Lambda)$ admits a canonical $T^{n}$-equivariant stably complex structure.
Remark 5.8. Using the $1-1$ correspondence

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { combinatorial } \\
\text { quasitoric pairs }(P, \Lambda)
\end{array}\right\} \longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { omnioriented } \\
\text { quaritoric manifolds }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

we see that the $T^{n}$-equivariant stably complex structure is determined by the omniorientation. Changing the orientation of one $M_{i}$ in the omniorientation data results in changing the corresponding $\rho_{i}$ to its conjugate in the stably complex structure. This is equivalent to reversing the sign of the $i$ th column in $\Lambda$.

## 5.2. $H_{i, j}$ are not quasitoric.

## Recall:

$$
H_{i, j}=\left\{(l, \alpha): l \subset \mathbb{C}^{i+1} \text { a line, } \alpha \subset \mathbb{C}^{j+1} \text { a hyperplane containing } l\right\}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq j
$$ so $H_{i, j}=\mathbb{C} P(\zeta)$, where $\zeta$ is the complex $j$-plane bundle whose fibre over $l \in \mathbb{C} P^{i}$ is the $j$-plane $l^{\perp}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{j+1}$ :

$$
\mathbb{C} P^{j-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P(\zeta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{i}
$$

Theorem 5.9 (exercise).

$$
H^{*}\left(H_{i, j}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u, w] /\left(u^{i+1}, v^{j-i}\left(u^{i}+u^{i-1} w+\cdots+u w^{i-1}+w^{i}\right)\right)
$$

Theorem 5.10 (Davis-Januszkiewicz).

$$
H^{*}(M(P, \Lambda))=\mathbb{Z}\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}+\mathcal{J}
$$

where $u_{i}=c_{1}\left(\rho_{i}\right) \in H^{2}(M(P, \Lambda))$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}=\left\{v_{i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}: F_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_{k}}=\varnothing\right\} \text { the Stanley-Reisner ideal of } P, \\
& \mathcal{J}=\left\{\lambda_{i, 1} u_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{i, m} u_{m}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 5.11. $H_{i, j}$ is not a quasitoric manifold for $2 \leq i \leq j$.
Proof. Assume the converse. Comparing $H^{2}$, we obtain $2=m-n$. Therefore,

$$
H^{*}\left(H_{i, j}\right)=\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right] / \mathcal{J}\right) / \mathcal{I}=\mathbb{Z}[u, w] / \mathcal{I}^{\prime}, \quad \operatorname{deg} u=\operatorname{deg} w=2
$$

where the ideal $\mathcal{I}^{\prime}$ has a basis consisting of elements of $\operatorname{deg} \geq 4$ decomposable into linear factors. This gives a contradiction.

### 5.3. Toric multiplicative generator set for $\Omega_{*}^{U}$.

Construction 5.12 (the bounded flag manifold $B_{n}$ ). A bounded flag in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is a complete flag $U=\left\{U_{1} \subset \cdots \subset U_{n+1}=\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\right\}$ such that $U_{k}$ contains the coordinate subspace $\mathbb{C}^{k-1}$ generated by the first $k-1$ standard basis vectors, for $2 \leq k \leq n$.

$$
B_{n}=\left\{\text { set of bounded flags in } \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\right\} .
$$

There is a projection $B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n-1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
U= & \left(U_{1} \subset U_{2} \subset \cdots \subset U_{n-1} \subset U_{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\right) \\
& \mapsto \\
U^{\prime}= & U / \mathbb{C}^{1}=\left(U_{1}^{\prime}=U_{2} / \mathbb{C}^{1} \subset U_{2}^{\prime}=U_{3} / \mathbb{C}^{1} \subset \cdots \subset U_{n-1}^{\prime}=U_{n} / \mathbb{C}^{1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The fibre of $B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n-1}$ is $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ (to recover $U_{1}$ we need to choose a line in $U_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ ). Get a tower of fibrations

$$
B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B_{2} \rightarrow B_{1}=\mathbb{C} P^{1}
$$

This is an example of a Bott tower of height $n$.
Proposition 5.13. The action

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\
(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{z}) & \mapsto\left(t_{1} z_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

induces a $T^{n}$-action on $B_{n}$ making it a quasitoric manifold over $I^{n}$.
Idea of proof. $B_{n}=(P, \Lambda)$ where $P=I^{n}$ (an $n$-dimensional cube), and

$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{c|cccc}
I_{n} & \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 \\
1 & -1 & \ldots \\
0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right. & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad m=2 n
$$

so $K(\Lambda) \rightarrow T^{2 n}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(t_{1}, t_{1}^{-1} t_{2}, t_{2}^{-1} t_{3}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{-1} t_{n}, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \\
& \mathcal{Z}_{P}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots z_{2 n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 n}:\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{n+k}\right|^{2}=1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n\right\} \cong\left(S^{3}\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

To identify $\mathcal{Z}_{P} / K(\Lambda)$ with $B_{n}$, we do the following. Given $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2 n}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{P}$, define $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_{n+1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_{k}=z_{k} \boldsymbol{e}_{k}+z_{k+n} \boldsymbol{v}_{k+1}, \quad k=n, \ldots, 1 .
$$

Then we get a projection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}_{P} & \rightarrow B_{n} \\
\boldsymbol{z} & \mapsto U=\left(U_{1} \subset U_{2} \subset \cdots \subset U_{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\right), \\
& U_{k}=\left\langle\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{e}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{k}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{i}: B_{i} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{i} \\
U=\left\{U_{1} \subset U_{2} \subset \ldots\right\} & \mapsto U_{1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and define $B_{i, j}$ from the pullback diagram

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
B_{i, j} & \rightarrow & H_{i, j}=\mathbb{C} P(\zeta) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B_{i} & \xrightarrow{f_{i}} & \mathbb{C} P^{i}
\end{array}
$$

So
$B_{i, j}=\left\{(U, \alpha): U\right.$ a bounded flag in $\mathbb{C}^{i+1}, \alpha$ a hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}^{j+1}$ containing $\left.U_{1}\right\}$ and there is a fibration $\mathbb{C} P^{j-1} \rightarrow B_{i, j} \rightarrow B_{i}$.

Proposition 5.14. $B_{i, j}$ has a $T^{i+j-1}$-action turning it into a quasitoric manifold over $I^{i} \times \Delta^{j-1}$.

Idea of proof. Like always with "flag" manifolds, pulling back $\zeta$ along $f_{i}$ splits it into a sum of line bundles. So $B_{i, j}$ is a projectivisation of a sum of line bundles over a toric manifold $B_{i}$. Under these circumstances, the torus action can be extended from the base to the total space.

Remark 5.15. Both $B_{i}$ and $B_{i, j}$ are toric manifolds, or Bott and generalised Bott towers respectively.

Lemma 5.16. Assume $f: N_{1}^{2 i} \rightarrow N_{2}^{2 i}$ is a degree 1 map of stably complex manifolds, and $\zeta \rightarrow N_{2}^{2 i}$ a $j$-plane complex bundle. Then

$$
s_{i+j-1}\left(\mathbb{C} P\left(f^{*}(\zeta)\right)\right)=s_{i+j-1}(\mathbb{C} P(\zeta))
$$

Theorem 5.17 (Buchstaber-Ray '98). $\left\{B_{i, j}\right\}$ is the set of multiplicative generators of $\Omega_{*}^{U}$ consisting of toric manifolds.
Proof. Indeed, $s_{i+j-1}\left(B_{i, j}\right)=s_{i+j-1}\left(H_{i, j}\right)$ by the above Lemma.
5.4. Constructing connected representatives: replacing the disjoint union by the connected sum.

Remark 5.18. We cannot find a toric representative in every cobordism class because e.g. $T d(M)=1$ and $c_{n}(M)=\chi(M)>0$ for every toric manifold $M$.
Construction 5.19 (connected sum of polytopes).
$P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ simple polytopes, finely ordered, of $\operatorname{dim} n$ :

$$
v_{0}^{\prime}=F_{1}^{\prime} \cap \cdots \cap F_{n}^{\prime}, \quad v_{0}^{\prime \prime}=F_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap \cdots \cap F_{n}^{\prime \prime}: \text { initial vertices. }
$$
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Construction 5.20 (equivariant connected sum of quasitoric pairs and quasitoric manifolds).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \lambda_{1, n+1}^{\prime} & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & \lambda_{1, m^{\prime}}^{\prime} & \lambda_{2, n+1}^{\prime} & \ldots \\
\lambda_{2, m^{\prime}}^{\prime} \\
\vdots & \ldots & \ddots & \ldots & \ldots \ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right] \ldots \ldots . \\
& \Lambda^{\prime \prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \lambda_{1, n+1}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & \lambda_{1, m^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} & \lambda_{2, n+1}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
\lambda_{2, m^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \ldots & \ddots & \ldots & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & 1 & \lambda_{n, n+1}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots
\end{array} \lambda_{n, m^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} . \ldots\right. \\
& \Lambda^{\prime} \# \Lambda^{\prime \prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \lambda_{1, n+1}^{\prime} & \ldots & \lambda_{1, m^{\prime}}^{\prime} & \lambda_{1, n+1}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & \ldots & \lambda_{2, n+1}^{\prime} & \ldots & \lambda_{2, m^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime} & \lambda_{2, n+1}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
\lambda_{2, m^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \ldots & \ddots & \ldots & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
0 & \ldots \ldots \ldots & 1 & \lambda_{n, n+1}^{\prime} & \ldots & \lambda_{n, m^{\prime}}^{\prime} & \lambda_{n, n+1}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots & \lambda_{n, m^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right) \\
& M^{\prime}=M\left(P^{\prime}, \Lambda^{\prime}\right), \quad M^{\prime \prime}=M\left(P^{\prime \prime}, \Lambda^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
M:=M\left(P^{\prime} \# P^{\prime \prime}, \Lambda^{\prime} \# \Lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Proposition 5.21. $M$ is the equivariant connected sum of $M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$ at $\pi^{-1}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\pi^{-1}\left(v_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Difficulty: Both $M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$ are oriented. The only possible obstruction to get the omniorientation of $M^{\prime} \# M^{\prime \prime}$ right involves the associated orientations of $M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$ : the orientations must be preserved under the collapse maps

$$
p^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \# M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad p^{\prime \prime}: M^{\prime} \# M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime \prime}
$$

Definition 5.22. Let $w \in P$ be a vertex, $w=F_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_{n}}$. The $\operatorname{sign} \sigma(w)$ is $\pm 1$ : it measures the difference between the orientations induced on $T_{w} M$ by $\rho_{i_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{i_{n}}$ and by the orientation of $M$. It can be calculated by

$$
\sigma(w)=u_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{i_{n}}\langle M\rangle
$$

where $u_{i}=c_{1}\left(\rho_{i}\right) \in H^{2}(M)$, and $\langle M\rangle \in H_{2 n}(M)$ the fundamental class.
Proposition 5.23. $M^{\prime} \#_{v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime \prime}} M^{\prime \prime}$ admits an orientation compatible with those of $M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$ if and only if $-\sigma\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma\left(v_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. In this case, $\left[M^{\prime} \# M^{\prime \prime}\right]=\left[M^{\prime}\right]+\left[M^{\prime \prime}\right]$ in $\Omega_{*}^{U}$.

Lemma 5.24. Let $M$ be an omnioriented quasitoric manifold of dimension $>2$ over $P$. Then there exists an ominioriented $M^{\prime}$ over $P^{\prime}$ such that $\left[M^{\prime}\right]=[M]$ in $\Omega_{*}^{U}$ and $P^{\prime}$ has at least two vertices of opposite signs.
Corollary 5.25. The main theorem.
Example 5.26. How to find a quasitoric representative in $2\left[\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right] \in \Omega_{4}^{U}$ ? We have $c_{2}\left(\left[\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right]\right)=3=$ number of vertices in a triangle $\Delta$,
and $c_{2}\left(2\left[\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right]\right)=6$. So there is no quasitoric manifold over $\Delta \# \Delta=\square$ representing $2\left[\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right]$, because $\square$ has only 4 vertices. But it is possible to do over a hexagon:
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