

## Torus actions of complexity one

Let  $T = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$  be a complex algebraic torus acting on an algebraic variety  $X$ . The *complexity*  $c(T, X)$  is the codimension of generic  $T$ -orbits in  $X$ . Toric varieties are exactly those of complexity 0. We give a combinatorial description of torus actions of complexity 1 in the language of convex geometry in the same spirit as for toric varieties.

We restrict our consideration to *normal*  $T$ -varieties. This restriction, common in toric geometry, is not very essential since every  $T$ -variety admits a  $T$ -equivariant normalization. Without loss of generality we may assume that the action  $T \curvearrowright X$  is faithful, i.e., generic  $T$ -orbits have trivial stabilizers.

By Sumihiro's theorem  $X = \bigcup X_i$  is covered by finitely many affine open  $T$ -stable subvarieties. Hence a description of  $X$  amounts to **2 problems**: (1) Describe the affine  $T$ -varieties  $X_i$ ; (2) Indicate how to patch them together.

To solve the 1-st problem, we may assume that  $X$  itself is affine. It is determined by its coordinate algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . The latter is a finitely generated integrally closed  $T$ -algebra, whence  $\mathbb{C}[X] = \bigcap_{v=v_D} \mathcal{O}_v$  over all  $T$ -stable prime divisors  $D \subset X$  ( *$T$ -divisors* in short), where  $v_D$  denotes the valuation of the field of rational functions  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  corresponding to  $D$ .

Now we describe  $T$ -invariant discrete valuations of  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  taking values in  $\mathbb{Q}$  ( *$T$ -valuations* in short). It is easy to see that they are completely determined by the restriction to the multiplicative group of  $T$ -eigenfunctions  $\mathbb{C}(X)^{(T)}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}(X)^{(T)} \simeq (\mathbb{C}(X)^T)^\times \times \Lambda$ , where  $\mathbb{C}(X)^T$  is the field of  $T$ -invariant functions and  $\Lambda$  is the weight lattice of  $T$ . Since  $c(T, X) = 1$ , we have  $\mathbb{C}(X)^T \simeq \mathbb{C}(C)$  for some smooth projective curve  $C$ . Restricting a valuation to  $\mathbb{C}(X)^T$  and  $\Lambda$ , in turn, we deduce:

**Proposition.** *The  $T$ -valuations are in a 1–1 correspondence with the triples  $(z, h, \gamma)$ ,  $z \in C$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z} := \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q})$ , modulo the equivalence relation  $(z_1, 0, \gamma) \equiv (z_2, 0, \gamma)$ ,  $\forall z_1, z_2 \in C$ . Hence the set of  $T$ -valuations is  $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{z \in C} \mathcal{V}_z$ , where the half-spaces  $\mathcal{V}_z = \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathcal{Z}$  are patched together along  $\mathcal{Z}$ .*

**Definition.** A *hypercone* in  $\mathcal{V}$  is a union  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_z$  of rational polyhedral cones  $\mathcal{C}_z \subset \mathcal{V}_z$  such that: (1)  $\mathcal{C}_z \cap \mathcal{Z} =: \mathcal{K}$  does not depend on  $z \in C$ ; (2)  $\mathcal{C}_z = \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathcal{K}$  for all but finitely many  $z$ ;

(3) Let  $\mathcal{P}_z$  be the projections of  $\mathcal{C}_z \cap (\{1\} \times \mathcal{Z})$  to  $\mathcal{Z}$ ; then  $\mathcal{P} = \sum_{z \in C} \mathcal{P}_z := \{ \sum \gamma_z \mid \gamma_z \in \mathcal{P}_z, \gamma_z = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } z \} \subset \mathcal{K} \setminus \{0\}$ . ( $\mathcal{P}$  may be empty!)

(4) For any face  $\mathcal{K}_0 \subset \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_0 \cap \mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\langle \lambda, \mathcal{K}_0 \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle \lambda, \mathcal{K} \rangle \geq 0$ , put  $\ell_z = \min \langle \lambda, \mathcal{P}_z \rangle$ ; then a multiple of  $\sum_z \ell_z \cdot z$  is a principal divisor on  $C$ .

**Note:** Condition (4) holds automatically if  $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ , i.e., if  $X$  is rational, because  $\sum \ell_z = 0$

**Theorem 1.** *The normal affine  $T$ -varieties of complexity 1 are in a 1–1 correspondence with the hypercones. The  $T$ -divisors on  $X$  correspond to the edges of the  $\mathcal{C}_z$ 's not intersecting  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

Next we address the 2-nd problem. By a *hyperface*  $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  we mean a hypercone  $\mathcal{C}'$  such that  $\mathcal{C}'_z$  is a face of  $\mathcal{C}_z$ ,  $\forall z \in C$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Affine  $T$ -varieties  $X_i$  can be patched together giving a (possibly non-affine)  $T$ -variety  $X$  of complexity 1 iff the respective hypercones  $\mathcal{C}_i$  intersect exactly in their common hyperfaces.*

**Conclusion:** Normal  $T$ -varieties of complexity 1 are in a 1–1 correspondence with finite collections of hypercones intersecting in their common hyperfaces, called *hyperfans*.

In terms of a hyperfan, there are a description of all orbits, a criterion for smoothness, etc.

## References

- [1] D. A. Timashev, *Classification of  $G$ -varieties of complexity 1*, Izv. Math. **61** (1997), no. 2, 363–397.
- [2] D. A. Timashev, *Homogeneous spaces and equivariant embeddings*, arXiv:math.AG/0602228, to appear in Encyclopædia of Math. Sciences.